

EXHIBIT C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE TESLA, INC. SECURITIES
LITIGATION

Case No. [18-cv-04865-EMC](#)

**ORDER GRANTING BRIDGESTONE'S
MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS**

Docket No. 201

Previously, the Court granted Defendants' motion for relief from the case schedule (in particular, the motion to dismiss) pending the mandamus proceedings before the Ninth Circuit. *See* Docket No. 203 (order). At the time the Court granted Defendants' motion, Bridgestone (the party who filed the petition for a writ of mandamus with the Ninth Circuit) also had a motion to stay proceedings on file. Upon inquiry from the Court, Bridgestone declined to withdraw its motion to stay, noting that its request for relief was broader than Defendants'. *See also* Reply at 1. Subsequently, the relevant parties filed responses to Bridgestone's motion to stay. No party substantively opposed the motion. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 207 (response by Defendants) ("For the reasons detailed in defendants' administrative motion . . . , which the Court granted . . . , defendants agree with Bridgestone that it is appropriate to stay this matter pending proceedings in the Ninth Circuit on the issue of lead plaintiff."); Docket No. 208 (response by Mr. Littleton) ("Bridgestone['s] . . . Motion to Stay Proceedings, which sought the identical relief, is moot.").

///

///

///


///

1 Based on the Ninth Circuit proceedings and the lack of any substantive opposition, the
2 Court hereby **GRANTS** the motion to stay. The hearing on the motion is **VACATED**.

3 This order disposes of Docket No. 201.

4
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6
7 Dated: April 15, 2019

8
9
10 
11 EDWARD M. CHEN
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California